UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,698	03/29/2004	Kuniyasu Matsumoto	1785.1014	1172
21171 7590 11/06/2007 STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			EXAMINER	
			PILKINGTON, JAMES	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3682	
•			<u> </u>	
		·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	p				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/810,698	MATSUMOTO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	James Pilkington	3682			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realized to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Oc	ctober 2007				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•				
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5,6 and 8</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 7</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
	•				
Attachment(s)	,, -				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/15/07</u> . 6) Other:					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/810,698

Art Unit: 3682

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fiora, USP 6,014,909, in view of Applicant's admitted prior art.

Fiora discloses a laying device comprising:

- A relaying means (57, Figure 4) for relaying an arm side section of the line element (section 55 is on the arm side) extending through said hollow portion (inside arm member 20) out of a lead-out opening (hole in 56) formed on a side of said rotation shaft member (20)
- A tool side section of line element (58) extending from the tool (the tool is attached at flange 24)
- A direction in which said relaying means (57) connects the line element is substantially parallel to said tool mount surface (flange 24) and forms an angle other than 0 degrees with regard to a radial direction perpendicular to the rotation axis (21) (the relay means are elbow connections, therefore it extends both parallel to the tool mount surface and at an angle other then 0 degrees to a radial direction perpendicular to the rotation axis)

Application/Control Number: 10/810,698

Art Unit: 3682

 Wherein said relaying means (57) is provided in the vicinity of said leadout opening (see Figure 4)

 A plurality of pairs of lead-out openings and associated relay means (Fiora discloses 10 openings and relay means or in other words 5 pairs)

Fiora does not disclose that the relay connectors are straight relay connectors.

The Applicant's admitted prior art (Figures 4 and 5) teaches that straight relay connectors can be used to connect the two cables.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the elbow relay connectors of Fiora with straight relay connectors as suggested by Applicant's admitted prior art to yield the predictable result of providing more flexibility of the cable near the connection point with the relay.

3. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fiora '909 in view of Applicant's admitted prior art as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Szydel, USP 5,77,267.

Fiora in view of Applicant's admitted prior art does not disclose that the relay means are arranged side by side in the direction of the rotation axis.

Szydel teaches relay means (50/51/52 and 63/64) are arranged side by side in the direction of the rotation axis (see Figure 1 axis 4A/6A) for the purpose of providing an assembly which facilitates the routing of the supply lines in a robot arm such that the amount of flexing and bending of the lines is reduced and reduce the possibility the supply lines will be caught and damaged in the joints (C2/L43-49).

Art Unit: 3682

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Fiora in view of Applicant's admitted prior art and provide for the relay means to be arranged side by side in the direction of the rotation axis, as taught by Szydel, for the purpose of providing an assembly which facilitates the routing of the supply lines in a robot arm such that the amount of flexing and bending of the lines is reduced and reduce the possibility the supply lines will be caught and damaged in the joints.

Also:

4. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fiora, USP 6,014,909, in view of Saba, USP 5,454,737.

Fiora discloses a laying device comprising:

- A relaying means (57, Figure 4) for relaying an arm side section of the line element (section 55 is on the arm side) extending through said hollow portion (inside arm member 20) out of a lead-out opening (hole in 56) formed on a side of said rotation shaft member (20)
- A tool side section of line element (58) extending from the tool (the tool is attached at flange 24)
- A direction in which said relaying means (57) connects the line element is substantially parallel to said tool mount surface (flange 24) and forms an angle other than 0 degrees with regard to a radial direction perpendicular

Application/Control Number: 10/810,698

Art Unit: 3682

to the rotation axis (21) (the relay means are elbow connections, therefore it extends both parallel to the tool mount surface and at an angle other then 0 degrees to a radial direction perpendicular to the rotation axis)

- Wherein said relaying means (57) is provided in the vicinity of said leadout opening (see Figure 4)
- A plurality of pairs of lead-out openings and associated relay means (Fiora discloses 10 openings and relay means or in other words 5 pairs)

Fiora does not disclose that the relay connectors are straight relay connectors.

Saba teaches that straight relay connectors (10) can be used to connect the two cables (ends 20 and 30) that does not cause breakage in the wires (C1/L55-59).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the elbow relay connectors of Fiora with straight relay connectors, as taught by Saba, for the purpose of providing a connector that does not cause breakage in the wires (Saba, C1/L55-59), in addition this would yield the predictable result of providing more flexibility of the cable near the connection point with the relay.

5. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fiora '909 in view of Saba as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Szydel, USP 5,77,267.

Fiora in view of Saba does not disclose that the relay means are arranged side by side in the direction of the rotation axis. Art Unit: 3682

Szydel teaches relay means (50/51/52 and 63/64) are arranged side by side in the direction of the rotation axis (see Figure 1 axis 4A/6A) for the purpose of providing an assembly which facilitates the routing of the supply lines in a robot arm such that the amount of flexing and bending of the lines is reduced and reduce the possibility the supply lines will be caught and damaged in the joints (C2/L43-49).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Fiora in view of Saba and provide for the relay means to be arranged side by side in the direction of the rotation axis, as taught by Szydel, for the purpose of providing an assembly which facilitates the routing of the supply lines in a robot arm such that the amount of flexing and bending of the lines is reduced and reduce the possibility the supply lines will be caught and damaged in the joints.

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 and 7 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 7. The Applicant is arguing that because Fiora does not disclose a straight relay connector Fiora does not anticipate the claim. It is the examiner's position that replacing the elbow relay with a straight relay extending in the same direction as the relay portion located near character 58 would render the claim obvious as recited above.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Pilkington whose telephone number is (571) 272-5052. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00AM-4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Ridley can be reached on (571) 272-6917. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,698 Page 8

Art Unit: 3682

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JP 10/30/07

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER